

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
SOUTHERN DIVISION**

IN RE AUTOMOTIVE PARTS ANTITRUST LITIGATION	CASE NO. 12-MD-02311
In Re: IGNITION COILS	HON. MARIANNE O. BATTANI
THIS RELATES TO:	2:13-cv-01401-MOB-MKM
ALL DIRECT PURCHASER ACTIONS	2:15-cv-11830-MOB-MKM

**ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL
OF PROPOSED SETTLEMENT WITH THE DIAMOND ELECTRIC
DEFENDANTS, PROVISIONAL CERTIFICATION OF THE DIAMOND
ELECTRIC SETTLEMENT CLASS, AND AUTHORIZING DISSEMINATION OF
NOTICE TO THE DIRECT PURCHASER MITSUBISHI ELECTRIC,
HIAMS, DENSO AND DIAMOND ELECTRIC SETTLEMENT CLASSES**

Upon consideration of the Direct Purchaser Plaintiff’s Motion for Preliminary Approval of Proposed Settlement with Defendants Diamond Electric Mfg. Co., Ltd. and Diamond Electric Mfg. Corporation (the “Diamond Electric Defendants”) and for an Order Authorizing Dissemination of Notice to the Mitsubishi Electric, HIAMS, DENSO and Diamond Electric Direct Purchaser Settlement Classes (the “Motion”), and supporting memorandum (the “Notice Memorandum”), it is hereby ORDERED as follows:

1. The Motion is hereby **GRANTED**.
2. Terms used in this Order that are defined in the Diamond Electric Settlement Agreement (the “Diamond Electric Settlement Agreement”) are, unless otherwise defined herein, used as defined in the Settlement Agreement.

Preliminary Approval of Diamond Electric Settlement Agreement

3. The terms of the Diamond Electric Settlement Agreement are hereby preliminarily approved as being fair, reasonable, and adequate to the Settlement Class, subject to a fairness hearing. In preliminarily approving the Settlement Agreement, the Court makes the following findings:

- a. The proposed class representative and Settlement Class Counsel have adequately represented the Settlement Class;
- b. The Diamond Electric Settlement Agreement was entered into at arm's length by experienced counsel and is sufficiently within the range of reasonableness that notice of the Diamond Electric Settlement Agreement should be given to members of the proposed Diamond Electric Settlement Class;
- c. The relief provided for the Diamond Electric Settlement Class is adequate; and
- d. The Settlement Agreement treats members of the Diamond Electric Settlement Class equitably relative to each other.

Class Certification

4. Pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and in light of the proposed settlement, the Court hereby finds that the prerequisites for a class action have been met, and provisionally certifies the following class for settlement purposes (the "Diamond Electric Settlement Class"):

All individuals and entities who purchased Ignition Coils in the United States directly from Defendants (or their subsidiaries, affiliates, joint ventures, or alleged co-conspirators) from January 1, 2000 through March 12, 2018. Excluded from the Settlement Class are Defendants, their present and former parent companies, subsidiaries and affiliates, federal governmental entities and instrumentalities of the federal government, and states and their subdivisions, agencies and instrumentalities.

5. For purposes of the Proposed Settlement Class definition, the following entities are Defendants: Hitachi Automotive Systems, Ltd.; Hitachi Automotive Systems Americas, Inc.; Hitachi, Ltd.; Denso Corporation; Denso International America, Inc.; Denso Products and Services Americas, Inc.; Denso International Korea Corporation; Diamond Electric Mfg. Co., Ltd.; Diamond Electric Mfg. Corporation; Mitsubishi Electric Corporation; Mitsubishi Electric US Holdings, Inc.; and Mitsubishi Electric Automotive America, Inc.

6. The Court finds that provisional certification of the Diamond Electric Settlement Class is warranted in light of the Settlement Agreement because: (a) the Diamond Electric Settlement Class is so numerous that joinder is impracticable; (b) the Direct Purchaser Plaintiff Class Representative's claims present common issues and are typical of the Diamond Electric Settlement Class; (c) the Direct Purchaser Plaintiff Class Representative and Settlement Class Counsel (identified below) will fairly and adequately represent the Diamond Electric Settlement Class; and (d) common issues predominate over any individual issues affecting the members of the Diamond Electric Settlement Class. The Court further finds that the Direct Purchaser Plaintiff Class Representative's interests are aligned with the interests of all other members of the Diamond Electric Settlement Class. The Court also finds that settlement of this action on a class basis superior to other means of resolving the matter.

Appointment of Class Representative and Settlement Class Counsel

7. The Court hereby appoints Plaintiff All European Auto Supply, Inc. to serve as Class Representative for the Diamond Electric Settlement Class.

8. The Court hereby appoints the law firms of Freed Kanner London & Millen LLC; Kohn, Swift & Graf, P.C.; Preti, Flaherty, Beliveau & Pachios LLP; and Spector Roseman & Kodroff, P.C. to serve as Co-Lead Settlement Class Counsel for the Diamond Electric Settlement

Class, having determined that the requirements of Rule 23(g) are fully satisfied by these appointments.

Notice to Potential Mitsubishi Electric, HIAMS,
DENSO and Diamond Electric Settlement Class Members

9. By Order dated September 17, 2018 (2:13-cv-01401, ECF No. 72), this Court preliminarily approved a Settlement Agreement between the Direct Purchaser Plaintiff and Defendants Mitsubishi Electric Corporation, Mitsubishi Electric US Holdings, Inc., and Mitsubishi Electric Automotive America, Inc. (collectively, the “Mitsubishi Electric Defendants”) and certified for purposes of the settlement a Direct Purchaser Mitsubishi Electric Settlement Class (the “Mitsubishi Electric Settlement Class”).

10. By Order dated September 17, 2018 (2:13-cv-01401, ECF No. 71), this Court preliminarily approved a Settlement Agreement between the Direct Purchaser Plaintiff and Defendant Hitachi Automotive Systems, Ltd. (“HIAMS”) for HIAMS, Hitachi, Ltd. and Hitachi Automotive Systems Americas, Inc. (collectively, the “HIAMS Defendants”) and certified for purposes of the settlement a Direct Purchaser HIAMS Settlement Class (the “HIAMS Settlement Class”).

11. By Order dated April 24, 2019, as amended May 23, 2019 (2:13-cv-01401, ECF No. 95, 96), this Court preliminarily approved a Settlement Agreement between the Direct Purchaser Plaintiff and Defendants DENSO Corporation, DENSO International America, Inc., DENSO Korea Corporation (f/k/a separately as DENSO International Korea Corporation and DENSO Korea Automotive Corporation), DENSO Automotive Deutschland GmbH, DENSO Products & Services Americas, Inc. (f/k/a DENSO Sales California, Inc.), ASMO Co., Ltd., ASMO North America, LLC, ASMO Greenville of North Carolina, Inc., and ASMO

Manufacturing, Inc. (collectively, the “DENSO Defendants”) and certified for purposes of the settlement a Direct Purchaser DENSO Settlement Class (the “DENSO Settlement Class”).

12. The Mitsubishi Electric, HIAMS, DENSO, and Diamond Electric Settlement Classes shall receive notice in accordance with the terms of this Order.

13. The Court approves the form and content of: (a) the Notice of Proposed Settlements of Direct Purchaser Class Action with the Mitsubishi Electric, HIAMS, DENSO, and Diamond Electric Defendants and Hearing on Settlement Approval and Related Matters, and Claim Form (the “Notice”), attached as Exhibit 2 to the Notice Memorandum; (b) the Summary Notice of Proposed Settlements of Direct Purchaser Class Action with the Mitsubishi Electric, HIAMS, DENSO, and Diamond Electric Defendants and Hearing on Settlement Approval and Related Matters (the “Summary Notice”), attached as Exhibit 3 to the Notice Memorandum; and (c) the Informational Press Release (the “Press Release”), attached as Exhibit 4 to the Notice Memorandum.

14. The Court finds that the mailing of the Notice and publication of the Summary Notice and Press Release, in the manner set forth herein constitutes the best notice that is practicable under the circumstances and is valid, due and sufficient notice to all persons entitled thereto and complies fully with the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23 and the due process requirements of the Constitution of the United States.

15. On or before February 7, 2020, the Notice, in substantially the same form as Exhibit 2 to the Notice Memorandum, shall be mailed by first class mail, postage prepaid, to all potential members of the Mitsubishi Electric, HIAMS, DENSO, and Diamond Electric Settlement Classes identified by Defendants. The Notice shall also be provided to all persons who request it in

response to the Summary Notice or Press Release. In addition, a copy of the Notice shall be posted on the Internet at www.autopartsantitrustlitigation.com, the website dedicated to this litigation.

16. On or before February 17, 2020, Settlement Class Counsel shall cause the Summary Notice, in substantially the same form as Exhibit 3 to the Notice Memorandum, to be published in one edition of *Automotive News*. Additionally, an online banner notice will appear over a 21-day period on www.AutoNews.com, the digital version of *Automotive News*. To supplement the notice program further, the Press Release, in substantially the same form as Exhibit 4 to the Notice Memorandum, will be issued nationwide via PR Newswire's "Auto Wire," which targets auto industry trade publications.

17. On or before March 9, 2020, Settlement Class Counsel shall file with the Court their motion or motions for: final approval of the proposed settlements with the Mitsubishi Electric, HIAMS, DENSO, and Diamond Electric Defendants; approval of a proposed plan of distribution of the settlement funds; an award of attorneys' fees and expenses; and an incentive payment to the Class Representative.

18. All requests for exclusion from any of the Mitsubishi Electric, HIAMS, DENSO, and Diamond Electric Settlement Classes must be in writing, postmarked no later than April 3, 2020, and must otherwise comply with the requirements set forth in the Notice. Requests for exclusion must be sent to Settlement Class Counsel, counsel for Settling Defendants, and to an address specific to the Ignition Coils Direct Purchaser Antitrust Litigation. The address for each recipient is listed in the Notice.

19. Any objection by any member of the Mitsubishi Electric, HIAMS, DENSO, or Diamond Electric Settlement Classes to any of those proposed settlements, or to the proposed plan of distribution, the request for attorneys' fees and expenses, or to an incentive payment to the Class

Representative, must be in writing, must be filed with the Clerk of Court and postmarked no later than April 3, 2020, and must otherwise comply with the instructions set forth in the Notice. Objections must be sent to the Clerk of the Court, Settlement Class Counsel, and counsel for the Settling Defendants. The address for each recipient is listed in the Notice.

20. At least ten (10) days before the date fixed by this Court for the Fairness Hearing, Settlement Class Counsel shall file with the Court affidavits or declarations of the person under whose general direction the mailing and posting of the Notice, and publication of the Summary Notice and Press Release, were made, showing that mailing, posting and publication were made in accordance with this Order.

21. The Court will hold a Fairness Hearing on June 17, 2020, at 1:30 p.m., at the Theodore Levin U.S. Courthouse, 231 West Lafayette Blvd., Detroit, MI, 48226, Courtroom 250 (or such other courtroom as may be assigned for the hearing), to determine whether to approve: (1) the proposed Mitsubishi Electric, HIAMS, DENSO, and Diamond Electric settlements; (2) the proposed plan of distribution of the settlement funds; (3) Settlement Class Counsel's request for an award of attorneys' fees and litigation costs and expenses; and (4) the request for an incentive payment to the Class Representative. Any Settlement Class member who follows the procedure set forth in the Notice may appear and be heard at this hearing. The Fairness Hearing may be rescheduled, adjourned or continued, and the courtroom assigned for the hearing may be changed, without further notice to the Settlement Classes.

22. Any Settlement Class member who wishes to participate in the distribution of the Mitsubishi Electric, HIAMS, DENSO, and Diamond Electric settlement funds must submit a Claim Form in accordance with the instructions therein. Any Claim Form submitted electronically

must be submitted on or before May 22, 2020. Any Claim Form submitted via mail must be postmarked on or before May 22, 2020.

Other Provisions

23. In the event that the Diamond Electric Settlement Agreement is terminated in accordance with its provisions, the Settlement Agreement and all proceedings had in connection therewith shall be null and void, except insofar as expressly provided to the contrary in the Settlement Agreement, and without prejudice to the status quo and rights of Plaintiff, the Diamond Electric Defendants, and the members of the Diamond Electric Settlement Class.

24. The Court's provisional certification of the Diamond Electric Settlement Class as provided herein is without prejudice to, or waiver of, the rights of any Defendant to contest certification of any other class proposed in these coordinated actions. The Court's findings in this Order shall have no effect on the Court's ruling on any motion to certify any class in these actions or on the Court's rulings concerning any Defendant's motion, and no party may cite or refer to the Court's approval of the Diamond Electric Settlement Class as persuasive or binding authority with respect to any motion to certify any such class or any Defendant's motion.

25. The Court approves the escrow account referenced in the Diamond Electric Settlement Agreement as a qualified settlement fund ("QSF") pursuant to Internal Revenue Code Section 468B and the Treasury Regulations promulgated thereunder and retains continuing jurisdiction as to any issue that may arise in connection with the formation or administration of the QSF. Settlement Class Counsel are authorized to use funds from the QSF in accordance with the Diamond Electric Settlement Agreement, including to pay costs of notice, taxes, tax expenses, and settlement administration costs.

26. The Direct Purchaser Class litigation against the Diamond Electric Defendants is stayed except to the extent necessary to effectuate the Settlement Agreement.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 17, 2020
Detroit, Michigan

s/Mark A. Goldsmith on behalf of
Marianne O. Battani
United States District Judge