

Defendants, oral argument presented at the fairness hearing, and the complete record in this matter,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED:

1. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this litigation.
2. Terms capitalized in this Order and Final Judgment and not otherwise defined herein have the same meanings as those used in the Settlement Agreement.
3. The Notice Order outlined the form and manner by which the Direct Purchaser Plaintiff would provide the HIAMS Settlement Class with notice of the settlement, the fairness hearing, and related matters. The notice program included individual notice via first class mail to members of the HIAMS Settlement Class who could be identified through reasonable efforts, as well as the publication of a summary notice in *Automotive News*; an online banner notice appeared over a 21-day period on www.AutoNews.com, the digital version of *Automotive News*; and an Informational Press Release targeting automotive industry trade publications was issued nationwide via PR Newswire's "Auto Wire." Finally, a copy of the Notice was (and remains) posted on-line at www.autopartsantitrustlitigation.com. Proof that mailing, publication and posting conformed with the Notice Order has been filed with the Court. This notice program fully complied with Fed. R. Civ. P. 23, and the requirements of due process. It provided due and adequate notice to the HIAMS Settlement Class.
4. The settlement was attained following an extensive investigation of the facts. It resulted from vigorous arm's-length negotiations, which were undertaken in good faith by counsel with significant experience litigating antitrust class actions.
5. The settlement was entered into by the parties in good faith.

6. Final approval of the settlement with HIAMS is hereby granted pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(e), because it is “fair, reasonable, and adequate” to the HIAMS Settlement Class. In reaching this conclusion, the Court considered the complexity, expense, and likely duration of the litigation, the HIAMS Settlement Class’s reaction to the settlement, and the result achieved.

7. The HIAMS Settlement Class provisionally certified by the Court in its Preliminary Approval Order is hereby certified as a class pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and is composed of: “All individuals and entities who purchased Starters in the United States directly from Defendants (or their subsidiaries or affiliates) from January 1, 2000 through March 12, 2018. Excluded from the Settlement Class are Defendants, their present and former parent companies, subsidiaries and affiliates, federal governmental entities and instrumentalities of the federal government, and states and their subdivisions, agencies and instrumentalities.” The Court hereby certifies the HIAMS Settlement Class and adopts and incorporates herein all findings made under Rule 23 in its Preliminary Approval Order.

8. The Court’s certification of the HIAMS Settlement Class as provided herein is without prejudice to, or waiver of the rights of any Defendant to contest certification of any other class proposed in these coordinated actions. The Court’s findings in this Order shall have no effect on the Court’s ruling on any motion to certify any class in these actions or on the Court’s rulings concerning any Defendant’s motion, and no party may cite or refer to the Court’s approval of the Settlement Class as persuasive or binding authority with respect to any motion to certify any such class or any defendant’s motion.

9. The entities identified on Exhibit “A” hereto have timely and validly requested exclusion from the HIAMS Settlement Class and, therefore, are excluded. Such entities are not

included in or bound by this Order and Final Judgment. Such entities are not entitled to any recovery from the settlement proceeds obtained through this settlement.

10. The Action and all Released Claims against the HIAMS Defendants and the other Releasees on behalf of the HIAMS Settlement Class are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs. The Releasers are enjoined from instituting or prosecuting, in any capacity, an action or proceeding that asserts a Released Claim against any of the Releasees. This dismissal applies only in favor of the HIAMS Defendants and the other Releasees identified in the Settlement Agreement.

11. The Escrow Account, plus accrued interest thereon, is approved as a Qualified Settlement Fund pursuant to Internal Revenue Code Section 468B and the Treasury Regulations promulgated thereunder.

12. Neither the Settlement Agreement, nor any act performed or document executed pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, may be deemed or used as an admission of wrongdoing by the HIAMS Defendants or any other Releasee in any civil, criminal, administrative, or other proceeding in any jurisdiction.

13. This Order and Final Judgment does not settle or compromise any claims by the Direct Purchaser Plaintiff or the Settlement Class against any other Defendant or other person or entity other than the HIAMS Defendants and the other Releasees, and all rights against any other Defendant or other person or entity are specifically reserved.

14. Without affecting the finality of this Order and Final Judgment, the Court retains exclusive jurisdiction over: (a) the enforcement of this Order and Final Judgment; (b) the enforcement of the Settlement Agreement; (c) any application for distribution of funds,

attorneys' fees or reimbursement made by Plaintiff's Counsel; and (d) the distribution of the settlement proceeds to Settlement Class members.

15. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 54(b), the Court finds that there is no just reason for delay and hereby directs the entry of judgment as to the HIAMS Defendants.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Marianne O. Battani

HON. MARIANNE O. BATTANI
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Date: October 15, 2019

EXHIBIT A

AUTOMOTIVE PARTS ANTITRUST LITIGATION, CASE NO. 12-MD-02311,
STARTERS, 2:13-CV-01101-MOB-MKM, 2:14-CV-10674-MOB-MKM

REQUESTS FOR EXCLUSION FROM THE DIRECT
PURCHASER HIAMS SETTLEMENT CLASS

<p>JERRY GRAHAM, INC., DBA JERRY'S STARTER & ALTERNATOR SERVICE</p> <p>FORD Ford Motor Company and its wholly owned divisions, subsidiaries and affiliates</p> <p>NISSAN Nissan Motor Co., Ltd. and Nissan North America, Inc., including their subsidiaries and majority-owned affiliates</p>	<p>GENERAL MOTORS General Motors LLC, General Motors Company, and General Motors Holdings LLC ("GM"), along with all their subsidiaries (in which GM directly or indirectly owns 50% or more of the voting rights) and majority owned affiliates</p> <p>HEAVY DUTY AMERICA</p> <p>HDA TRUCK PRIDE USD PROD SOLD</p>
---	--